



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but



USS Lead Superfund Site Linear Regression results for Lead in Residential Soils

Prepared By
John Bing-Canar, FIELDS Group, US EPA, Region V
March 2010

Simple linear regression and regression diagnostics were used to find the “best fitting” linear relationship between XRF measurements of Lead levels in soil and their corresponding laboratory measurements using the SAS[®] software. This relationship is quantified into a model (equation) of XRF measurements of Lead and its corresponding laboratory measurement. The statistical methods employed were drawn from SAS[®] literature and three regression texts: Statistical Methods in Water Resources, 1992; and Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariate Methods, 1978 and 1988. (See “References” section for a complete list of regression resources.) Simple linear regression was performed for Lead (Pb). The data set used was provided by Cheryl Gorman (Sullivan International), a USEPA Contractor. The name of the data file is: CLP vs XRF Lead.xls.

The steps used to perform simple linear regression were:

1. Plot the data;
2. Computer the least squares regression statistics;
3. Examine adherence to the assumptions of regression using residual plots; and
4. Employ regression diagnostics (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992).

There was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value in soil (see Figure 1). However, regression diagnostics found that some of the assumptions of regression were violated. These violations included heteroscedasticity (see Figure 2) and a lack of normality for these residuals (results not shown). To overcome these violations, the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding Laboratory value was taken. Figure 3 shows that there was a statistically significant linear regression relationship between the natural log of the XRF Lead values and their corresponding natural log of the Laboratory value. Figure 4 demonstrates that the assumption of homoscedasticity of residuals was met but